

On Semi-Tensor Bundle

Furkan Yıldırım*

(Communicated by Arif Salimov)

ABSTRACT

We investigate some lifts of tensor fields of type (1,0) on a cross-section in the semi-tensor (pull-back) bundle tM of tensor bundle TM of type (p,q) by using projection (submersion) of the cotangent bundle T^*M and we find some relation for them.

Keywords: Vector field; complete lift; cross-section; horizontal lift; pull-back bundle; cotangent bundle; semi-tensor bundle

AMS Subject Classification (2010): Primary: 53A45; Secondary: 55R10; 57R25.

1. Introduction

Let M_n be an n -dimensional differentiable manifold of class C^∞ , and let $(T^*(M_n), \pi_1, M_n)$ be a cotangent bundle over M_n . We use the notation $(x^i) = (x^{\bar{\alpha}}, x^\alpha)$, where the indices i, j, \dots run from 1 to $2n$, the indices $\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}, \dots$ from 1 to n and the indices α, β, \dots from $n+1$ to $2n$, x^α are coordinates in M_n , $x^{\bar{\alpha}} = p_\alpha$ are fibre coordinates of the cotangent bundle $T^*(M_n)$.

Let now $(T_q^p(M_n), \tilde{\pi}, M_n)$ be a tensor bundle [3], [6], [[7], p.118] with base space M_n , and let $T^*(M_n)$ be cotangent bundle determined by a natural projection (submersion) $\pi_1 : T^*(M_n) \rightarrow M_n$. The semi-tensor bundle (induced, pull-back [4],[5],[8],[9],[11],[12],[13],[14]) of the tensor bundle $(T_q^p(M_n), \tilde{\pi}, M_n)$ is the bundle $(t_q^p(M_n), \pi_2, T^*(M_n))$ over cotangent bundle $T^*(M_n)$ with a total space

$$\begin{aligned} t_q^p(M_n) &= \left\{ ((x^{\bar{\alpha}}, x^\alpha), x^{\bar{\alpha}}) \in T^*(M_n) \times (T_q^p)_x(M_n) : \pi_1(x^{\bar{\alpha}}, x^\alpha) = \tilde{\pi}(x^\alpha, x^{\bar{\alpha}}) = (x^\alpha) \right\} \\ &\subset T^*(M_n) \times (T_q^p)_x(M_n) \end{aligned}$$

and with the projection map $\pi_2 : t_q^p(M_n) \rightarrow T^*(M_n)$ defined by $\pi_2(x^{\bar{\alpha}}, x^\alpha, x^{\bar{\alpha}}) = (x^{\bar{\alpha}}, x^\alpha)$, where $(T_q^p)_x(M_n) (x = \pi_1(\tilde{x}), \tilde{x} = (x^{\bar{\alpha}}, x^\alpha) \in T^*(M_n))$ is the tensor space at a point x of M_n , where $x^{\bar{\alpha}} = t_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_q}^{\beta_1 \dots \beta_p}$ ($\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}, \dots = 2n+1, \dots, 2n+n^{p+q}$) are fiber coordinates of the tensor bundle $T_q^p(M_n)$.

If $(x^{i'}) = (x^{\bar{\alpha}'}, x^{\alpha'}, x^{\bar{\alpha}'})$ is another system of local adapted coordinates in the semi-tensor bundle $t_q^p(M_n)$, then we have

$$\begin{cases} x^{\bar{\alpha}'} = \frac{\partial x^{\bar{\beta}}}{\partial x^{\bar{\alpha}'}} p_\beta, \\ x^{\alpha'} = x^{\alpha'}(x^{\bar{\beta}}), \\ x^{\bar{\alpha}'} = t_{\alpha'_1 \dots \alpha'_q}^{\beta'_1 \dots \beta'_p} = A_{\alpha'_1 \dots \alpha'_q}^{\beta'_1 \dots \beta'_p} A_{\alpha'_1 \dots \alpha'_q}^{\beta_1 \dots \beta_q} t_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_q}^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_p} = A_{(\alpha')}^{(\beta')} A_{(\alpha')}^{(\beta)} x^{\bar{\beta}}. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

The Jacobian of (1.1) has the components

$$\bar{A} = \left(A_{J'}^{I'} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} A_{\alpha'}^{\bar{\beta}} & p_\sigma A_{\beta'}^{\beta'} A_{\beta' \alpha'}^\sigma & 0 \\ 0 & A_{\beta'}^{\alpha'} & 0 \\ 0 & t_{(\sigma)}^{(\alpha)} \partial_{\beta'} A_{(\alpha')}^{(\beta')} A_{(\alpha')}^{(\sigma)} & A_{(\alpha')}^{(\beta')} A_{(\alpha')}^{(\beta)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1.2)$$

where $I = (\bar{\alpha}, \alpha, \bar{\alpha})$, $J = (\bar{\beta}, \beta, \bar{\beta})$, $I, J, \dots = 1, \dots, 2n + n^{p+q}$, $t_{(\sigma)}^{(\alpha)} = t_{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_q}^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_p}$, $A_{\beta'}^{\alpha'} = \frac{\partial x^{\alpha'}}{\partial x^{\beta'}}$, $A_{\alpha'}^{\beta} = \frac{\partial x^{\beta}}{\partial x^{\alpha'}}$, $A_{\beta' \alpha'}^\sigma = \frac{\partial^2 x^\sigma}{\partial x^{\beta'} \partial x^{\alpha'}}$. It is easily verified that the condition $\text{Det} \bar{A} \neq 0$ is equivalent to the condition:

$$\text{Det}(A_{\alpha'}^{\bar{\beta}}) \neq 0, \text{Det}(A_{\beta'}^{\alpha'}) \neq 0, \text{Det}(A_{(\alpha')}^{(\beta')} A_{(\alpha')}^{(\beta)}) \neq 0.$$

Also, $\dim t_q^p(M_n) = 2n + n^{p+q}$.

We note that special class of semi-tensor bundle was examined in [2]. The main purpose of this paper is to study semi-tensor (pull-back) bundle $t_q^p(M_n)$ of tensor bundle $T_q^p(M_n)$ by using projection of the cotangent bundle $T^*(M_n)$.

We denote by $\mathfrak{S}_q^p(T^*(M_n))$ and $\mathfrak{S}_q^p(M_n)$ the modules over $F(T^*(M_n))$ and $F(M_n)$ of all tensor fields of type (p, q) on $T^*(M_n)$ and M_n , respectively, where $F(T^*(M_n))$ and $F(M_n)$ denote the rings of real-valued C^∞ -functions on $T^*(M_n)$ and M_n , respectively.

2. Vertical lifts of tensor fields and γ - operator

Let $A \in \mathfrak{S}_q^p(T^*(M_n))$. On putting

$${}^{vv}A = \begin{pmatrix} {}^{vv}A^{\bar{\alpha}} \\ {}^{vv}A^\alpha \\ {}^{vv}A^{\bar{\beta}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ A_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_q}^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_p} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.1}$$

from (1.2), we easily see that with ${}^{vv}A' = \bar{A}({}^{vv}A)$. The vector field ${}^{vv}A \in \mathfrak{S}_0^1(t_q^p(M_n))$ is called the vertical lift of $A \in \mathfrak{S}_q^p(T^*(M_n))$ to the semi-tensor bundle $t_q^p(M_n)$.

Let $\varphi \in \mathfrak{S}_1^1(M_n)$. We define a vector field $\gamma\varphi$ in $\pi^{-1}(U)$ by

$$\begin{cases} \gamma\varphi = \left(\sum_{\lambda=1}^p t_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_q}^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_p} \varphi_\varepsilon^{\alpha\lambda} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\bar{\beta}}}, & (p \geq 1, q \geq 0) \\ \tilde{\gamma}\varphi = \left(\sum_{\mu=1}^q t_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_q}^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_p} \varphi_{\beta_\mu}^\varepsilon \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\bar{\beta}}}, & (p \geq 0, q \geq 1) \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

with respect to the coordinates $(x^{\bar{\beta}}, x^\beta, x^{\bar{\beta}})$ on $t_q^p(M_n)$. From (1.2) we easily see that the vector fields $\gamma\varphi$ and $\tilde{\gamma}\varphi$ defined in each $\pi^{-1}(U) \subset t_q^p(M_n)$ determine respectively global vertical vector fields on $t_q^p(M_n)$. We call $\gamma\varphi$ (or $\tilde{\gamma}\varphi$) the vertical-vector lift of the tensor field $\varphi \in \mathfrak{S}_1^1(M_n)$ to $t_q^p(M_n)$. For any $\varphi \in \mathfrak{S}_1^1(M_n)$, if we take account of (1.2) and (2.2), we can prove that $(\gamma\varphi)' = \bar{A}(\gamma\varphi)$. Where $\gamma\varphi$ is a vector field defined by

$$\gamma\varphi = (\gamma\varphi)^I = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \sum_{\lambda=1}^p t_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_q}^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_p} \varphi_\varepsilon^{\alpha\lambda} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.3}$$

Let $\varphi \in \mathfrak{S}_1^1(M_n)$. On putting

$$\tilde{\gamma}\varphi = (\tilde{\gamma}\varphi)^I = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \sum_{\mu=1}^q t_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_q}^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_p} \varphi_{\beta_\mu}^\varepsilon \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.4}$$

we easily see that $(\tilde{\gamma}\varphi)' = \bar{A}(\tilde{\gamma}\varphi)$.

For any $\varphi \in \mathfrak{S}_1^1(T^*(M_n))$, if we take account of (1.2), we can prove that $(\gamma\varphi)' = \bar{A}(\gamma\varphi)$, where $\gamma\varphi$ is a vector field defined by

$$\gamma\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} -p_\sigma F_\beta^\sigma \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.5}$$

with respect to the coordinates $(x^{\bar{\beta}}, x^\beta, x^{\bar{\beta}})$.

3. Complete lifts of vector fields

Let $X \in \mathfrak{S}_0^1(T^*(M_n))$, i.e. $X = X^\alpha \partial_\alpha$. The complete lift cX of X to cotangent bundle is defined by ${}^cX = X^\alpha \partial_\alpha - p_\beta (\partial_\alpha X^\beta) \partial_{\bar{\alpha}}$ [[10], p.236]. On putting

$${}^{cc}X = \begin{pmatrix} {}^{cc}X^{\bar{\beta}} \\ {}^{cc}X^\beta \\ {}^{cc}X^{\bar{\beta}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -p_\varepsilon (\partial_\beta X^\varepsilon) \\ X^\beta \\ \sum_{\lambda=1}^p t_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_q}^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_p} \partial_\varepsilon X^{\alpha\lambda} - \sum_{\mu=1}^q t_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_q}^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_p} \partial_{\beta_\mu} X^\varepsilon \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.1}$$

from (1.2), we easily see that ${}^{cc}X' = \bar{A}({}^{cc}X)$. The vector field ${}^{cc}X$ is called the complete lift of ${}^cX \in \mathfrak{S}_0^1(T^*(M_n))$ to $t_q^p(M_n)$.

4. Horizontal lifts of vector fields

Let $X \in \mathfrak{S}_0^1(T^*(M_n))$, i.e. $X = X^\alpha(x^\alpha)\partial_\alpha$. If we take account of (1.2), we can prove that ${}^{HH}X' = \bar{A}({}^{HH}X)$, where ${}^{HH}X \in \mathfrak{S}_0^1(t_q^p(M_n))$ is a vector field defined by

$${}^{HH}X = \begin{pmatrix} X^\alpha \Gamma_{\alpha\beta} \\ X^\beta \\ X^l (\sum_{\mu=1}^q \Gamma_{l\beta_\mu}^\varepsilon t_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_q}^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_p} - \sum_{\lambda=1}^p \Gamma_{l\varepsilon}^{\alpha\lambda} t_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_q}^{\alpha_1 \dots \varepsilon \dots \alpha_p}) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.1}$$

with respect to the coordinates $(x^{\bar{\beta}}, x^\beta, x^{\bar{\beta}})$ on $t_q^p(M_n)$. We call ${}^{HH}X$ the horizontal lift of the vector field X to $t_q^p(M_n)$, where $\Gamma_{\alpha\beta} = p_\varepsilon \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\varepsilon$.

Theorem 4.1. *If $X \in \mathfrak{S}_0^1(T^*(M_n))$ then*

$${}^{cc}X - {}^{HH}X = \gamma(\hat{\nabla}X) - \tilde{\gamma}(\hat{\nabla}X) + \gamma(\nabla X),$$

where the symmetric affine connection $\hat{\nabla}$ is the given by $\hat{\Gamma}_{\theta\beta}^\alpha = \Gamma_{\theta\beta}^\alpha$.

Proof. From (2.3), (2.4), (2.5), (3.1) and (4.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{cc}X - {}^{HH}X &= \begin{pmatrix} -p_\varepsilon(\partial_\beta X^\varepsilon) \\ X^\beta \\ \sum_{\lambda=1}^p t_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_q}^{\alpha_1 \dots \varepsilon \dots \alpha_p} \partial_\varepsilon X^{\alpha\lambda} - \sum_{\mu=1}^q t_{\beta_1 \dots \varepsilon \dots \beta_q}^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_p} \partial_{\beta_\mu} X^\varepsilon \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad - \begin{pmatrix} p_\varepsilon X^\alpha \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\varepsilon \\ X^\beta \\ X^l (\sum_{\mu=1}^q \Gamma_{l\beta_\mu}^\varepsilon t_{\beta_1 \dots \varepsilon \dots \beta_q}^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_p} - \sum_{\lambda=1}^p \Gamma_{l\varepsilon}^{\alpha\lambda} t_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_q}^{\alpha_1 \dots \varepsilon \dots \alpha_p}) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} -p_\varepsilon(\partial_\beta X^\varepsilon) - p_\varepsilon X^\alpha \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\varepsilon \\ 0 \\ \sum_{\lambda=1}^p t_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_q}^{\alpha_1 \dots \varepsilon \dots \alpha_p} (\partial_\varepsilon X^{\alpha\lambda} + \Gamma_{l\varepsilon}^{\alpha\lambda} X^l) - \sum_{\mu=1}^q t_{\beta_1 \dots \varepsilon \dots \beta_q}^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_p} (\partial_{\beta_\mu} X^\varepsilon + \Gamma_{l\beta_\mu}^\varepsilon X^l) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \sum_{\lambda=1}^p t_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_q}^{\alpha_1 \dots \varepsilon \dots \alpha_p} (\partial_\varepsilon X^{\alpha\lambda} + \Gamma_{l\varepsilon}^{\alpha\lambda} X^l) \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \sum_{\mu=1}^q t_{\beta_1 \dots \varepsilon \dots \beta_q}^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_p} (\partial_{\beta_\mu} X^\varepsilon + \Gamma_{l\beta_\mu}^\varepsilon X^l) \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad + \begin{pmatrix} -p_\varepsilon(\partial_\beta X^\varepsilon + X^\alpha \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\varepsilon) \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \sum_{\lambda=1}^p t_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_q}^{\alpha_1 \dots \varepsilon \dots \alpha_p} \underbrace{(\partial_\varepsilon X^{\alpha\lambda} + \hat{\Gamma}_{\varepsilon l}^{\alpha\lambda} X^l)}_{\hat{\nabla}_\varepsilon \tilde{X}^{\alpha\lambda}} \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \sum_{\mu=1}^q t_{\beta_1 \dots \varepsilon \dots \beta_q}^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_p} \underbrace{(\partial_{\beta_\mu} X^\varepsilon + \hat{\Gamma}_{\beta_\mu l}^\varepsilon X^l)}_{\hat{\nabla}_{\beta_\mu} \tilde{X}^\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad + \begin{pmatrix} -p_\varepsilon \underbrace{(\partial_\beta X^\varepsilon + X^\alpha \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\varepsilon)}_{\nabla_\beta X^\varepsilon} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \sum_{\lambda=1}^p t_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_q}^{\alpha_1 \dots \varepsilon \dots \alpha_p} (\hat{\nabla}_\varepsilon \tilde{X}^{\alpha\lambda}) \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \sum_{\mu=1}^q t_{\beta_1 \dots \varepsilon \dots \beta_q}^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_p} (\hat{\nabla}_{\beta_\mu} \tilde{X}^\varepsilon) \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} -p_\varepsilon (\nabla_\beta X^\varepsilon) \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \gamma(\hat{\nabla}_\varepsilon \tilde{X}^{\alpha\lambda}) - \tilde{\gamma}(\hat{\nabla}_{\beta_\mu} \tilde{X}^\varepsilon) + \gamma(\nabla_\beta X^\varepsilon) = \gamma(\hat{\nabla}X) - \tilde{\gamma}(\hat{\nabla}X) + \gamma(\nabla X), \end{aligned}$$

which prove Theorem 4.1. □

5. Cross-sections in the semi-tensor bundle

Let $\xi \in \mathfrak{S}_q^p(M_n)$ be a tensor field on M_n . Then the correspondence $x \rightarrow \xi_x, \xi_x$ being the value of ξ at $x \in T^*(M_n)$, determines a cross-section β_ξ of semi-tensor bundle. Thus if $\sigma_\xi : M_n \rightarrow T_q^p(M_n)$ is a cross-section of $(T_q^p(M_n), \tilde{\pi}, M_n)$, such that $\tilde{\pi} \circ \sigma_\xi = I_{(M_n)}$, an associated cross-section $\beta_\xi : T^*(M_n) \rightarrow t_q^p(M_n)$ of semi-tensor bundle $(t_q^p(M_n), \pi_2, T^*(M_n))$ defined by [[1], p. 217-218], [4], [5], [[10].p. 301]:

$$\beta_\xi(x^{\bar{\alpha}}, x^\alpha) = (x^{\bar{\alpha}}, x^\alpha, \sigma_\xi \circ \pi_1(x^{\bar{\alpha}}, x^\alpha)) = (x^{\bar{\alpha}}, x^\alpha, \sigma_\xi(x^\alpha)) = (x^{\bar{\alpha}}, x^\alpha, \xi_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_q}^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_p}(x^\beta)).$$

If the tensor field ξ has the local components $\xi_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_q}^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_p}(x^\beta)$, the cross-section $\beta_\xi(T^*(M_n))$ of $t_q^p(M_n)$ is locally expressed by

$$\begin{cases} x^{\bar{\beta}} = p_\beta = \theta_\beta(x^\alpha), \\ x^\beta = x^\beta, \\ x^{\bar{\beta}} = \xi_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_q}^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_p}(x^\alpha), \end{cases} \tag{5.1}$$

with respect to the coordinates $x^B = (x^{\bar{\beta}}, x^\beta, x^{\bar{\beta}})$ in $t_q^p(M_n)$.

$x^{\bar{\alpha}} = p_\alpha$ being considered as parameters. Thus, by differentiating with respect to p_α , we easily see that the n local vector fields $B_{(\bar{\theta})}$ ($\bar{\theta} = 1, \dots, n$) with components

$$B_{(\bar{\theta})} : (B_{(\bar{\theta})}^B) = \partial_{(\bar{\theta})} x^B = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{\bar{\theta}} \theta_\beta \\ \partial_{\bar{\theta}} x^\beta \\ \partial_{\bar{\theta}} \xi_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_q}^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_p} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_{\bar{\theta}}^\beta \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is tangent to the fibre, where

$$\delta_{\bar{\theta}}^\beta = A_{\bar{\theta}}^\beta = \frac{\partial x^\beta}{\partial x^{\bar{\theta}}}.$$

Let ω be an 1-form with local components ω_β on M_n , so that ω is a 1-form with local expression $\omega = \omega_\beta dx^\beta$. We denote by $B\omega$ the vector field with local components

$$B\omega : (B_{(\bar{\theta})}^B \omega_\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_\beta \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{5.2}$$

which is tangent to the fibre.

Taking the derivative with respect to x^θ , we have vector fields $C_{(\theta)}$ ($\theta = n + 1, \dots, 2n$) with components

$$C_{(\theta)} = \frac{\partial x^B}{\partial x^\theta} = \partial_\theta x^B = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_\theta \theta_\beta \\ \partial_\theta x^\beta \\ \partial_\theta \xi_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_q}^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_p} \end{pmatrix},$$

which are tangent to the cross-section $\beta_\xi(T^*(M_n))$.

Thus $C_{(\theta)}$ has the components

$$C_{(\theta)} : (C_{(\theta)}^B) = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_\theta \theta_\beta \\ \delta_\theta^\beta \\ \partial_\theta \xi_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_q}^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_p} \end{pmatrix},$$

with respect to the coordinates $(x^{\bar{\beta}}, x^\beta, x^{\bar{\beta}})$ in $t_q^p(M_n)$. Where

$$\delta_\theta^\beta = A_\theta^\beta = \frac{\partial x^\beta}{\partial x^\theta}.$$

Let $X \in \mathfrak{S}_0^1(T^*(M_n))$. Then we denote by CX the vector field with local components

$$CX : (C_{(\theta)}^B X^\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} X^\theta \partial_\theta \theta_\beta \\ X^\beta \\ X^\theta \partial_\theta \xi_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_q}^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_p} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{5.3}$$

with respect to the coordinates $(x^{\bar{\beta}}, x^{\beta}, x^{\bar{\beta}})$ in $t_q^p(M_n)$, which is defined globally along $\beta_{\xi}(T^*(M_n))$.

On the other hand, the fibre is locally expressed by

$$\begin{cases} x^{\bar{\beta}} = p_{\beta} = \text{const.}, \\ x^{\beta} = \text{const.}, \\ x^{\bar{\beta}} = t_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_q}^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_p} = t_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_q}^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_p}, \end{cases}$$

$t_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_q}^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_p}$ being considered as parameters. Thus, by differentiating with respect to $x^{\bar{\beta}} = t_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_q}^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_p}$, we easily see that the vector fields $E_{(\bar{\theta})}$ ($\bar{\theta} = 2n + 1, \dots, 2n + n^{p+q}$) with components

$$E_{(\bar{\theta})} : \left(E_{(\bar{\theta})}^B \right) = \partial_{\bar{\theta}} x^B = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \theta_{\beta}}{\partial \bar{\theta}} \\ \frac{\partial x^{\beta}}{\partial \bar{\theta}} \\ \frac{\partial t_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_q}^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_p}}{\partial \bar{\theta}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta_{\beta_1}^{\theta_1} \dots \delta_{\beta_q}^{\theta_q} \delta_{\gamma_1}^{\alpha_1} \dots \delta_{\gamma_p}^{\alpha_p} \end{pmatrix}$$

is tangent to the fibre, where δ is the Kronecker symbol.

Let ξ be a tensor field of type (p, q) with local components

$$\xi = \xi_{\theta_1 \dots \theta_q}^{\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_p} dx^{\theta_1} \otimes \dots \otimes dx^{\theta_q} \otimes \partial_{\gamma_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \partial_{\gamma_p}$$

on M_n .

We denote by $E\xi$ the vector field with local components

$$E\xi : \left(E_{(\bar{\theta})}^B \xi_{\theta_1 \dots \theta_q}^{\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_p} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \xi_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_q}^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_p} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{5.4}$$

which is tangent to the fibre.

Theorem 5.1. Let $\psi, \omega \in \mathfrak{S}_1^0(M_n)$. For the Lie product, we have

$$[B\psi, B\omega] = 0.$$

Proof. If $\psi, \omega \in \mathfrak{S}_1^0(M_n)$ and $\begin{pmatrix} [B\psi, B\omega]^{\bar{\beta}} \\ [B\psi, B\omega]^{\beta} \\ [B\psi, B\omega]^{\bar{\beta}} \end{pmatrix}$ are the components of $[B\psi, B\omega]$ with respect to the coordinates

$(x^{\bar{\beta}}, x^{\beta}, x^{\bar{\beta}})$ in $t_q^p(M_n)$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} [B\psi, B\omega]^J &= \psi^I \partial_I \omega^J - \omega^I \partial_I \psi^J \\ &= \psi^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} \omega^J + \psi^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} \omega^J + \psi^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} \omega^J - \omega^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} \psi^J - \omega^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} \psi^J - \omega^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} \psi^J \\ &= \psi_{\alpha} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} \omega^J - \omega_{\alpha} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} \psi^J. \end{aligned}$$

Firstly, if $J = \bar{\beta}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [B\psi, B\omega]^{\bar{\beta}} &= \psi_{\alpha} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} \omega^{\bar{\beta}} - \omega_{\alpha} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} \psi^{\bar{\beta}} \\ &= \psi_{\alpha} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} \omega_{\beta} - \omega_{\alpha} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} \psi_{\beta} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

by virtue of (5.2). Secondly, if $J = \beta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [B\psi, B\omega]^{\beta} &= \psi_{\alpha} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} \omega^{\beta} - \omega_{\alpha} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} \psi^{\beta} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

by virtue of (5.2). Thirdly, if $J = \bar{\beta}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} [B\psi, B\omega]^{\bar{\beta}} &= \psi_{\alpha} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} \omega^{\bar{\beta}} - \omega_{\alpha} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} \psi^{\bar{\beta}} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

by virtue of (5.2). Thus, we have $[B\psi, B\omega] = 0$. □

Theorem 5.2. Let X be a vector field on $T^*(M_n)$, we have along $\beta_\xi(T^*(M_n))$ the formula

$${}^{cc}X = -B(L_X\theta) + CX + E(-L_X\xi),$$

where $L_X\theta$ denotes the Lie derivative of θ with respect to X , and $L_X\xi$ denotes the Lie derivative of ξ with respect to X .

Proof. Using (3.1), (5.2), (5.3) and (5.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} -B(L_X\theta) + CX + E(-L_X\xi) &= -\begin{pmatrix} X^\theta\partial_\theta\theta_\beta + \theta_\theta\partial_\beta X^\theta \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} X^\theta\partial_\theta\theta_\beta \\ X^\beta \\ X^\theta\partial_\theta\xi_{\beta_1\dots\beta_q}^{\alpha_1\dots\alpha_p} \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -X^\theta\partial_\theta\xi_{\beta_1\dots\beta_q}^{\alpha_1\dots\alpha_p} - \sum_{\mu=1}^q\partial_{\beta_\mu}X^\beta\xi_{\beta_1\dots\beta_q}^{\alpha_1\dots\alpha_p} + \sum_{\lambda=1}^p\partial_{\beta_\lambda}X^{\alpha_\lambda}\xi_{\beta_1\dots\beta_q}^{\alpha_1\dots\alpha_p} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} -\theta_\theta(\partial_\beta X^\theta) \\ X^\beta \\ -\sum_{\mu=1}^q\partial_{\beta_\mu}X^\beta\xi_{\beta_1\dots\beta_q}^{\alpha_1\dots\alpha_p} + \sum_{\lambda=1}^p\partial_{\beta_\lambda}X^{\alpha_\lambda}\xi_{\beta_1\dots\beta_q}^{\alpha_1\dots\alpha_p} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= {}^{cc}X. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have Theorem 5.2. □

On the other hand, on putting $C(\bar{\beta}) = E(\bar{\beta})$, we write the adapted frame of $\beta_\xi(T^*(M_n))$ as $\{B(\bar{\beta}), C(\beta), C(\bar{\beta})\}$. The adapted frame $\{B(\bar{\beta}), C(\beta), C(\bar{\beta})\}$ of $\beta_\xi(T^*(M_n))$ is given by the matrix

$$\tilde{A} = (\tilde{A}_B^A) = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_\alpha^\beta & \partial_\beta\theta_\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \delta_\beta^\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \partial_\beta\xi_{\alpha_1\dots\alpha_q}^{\sigma_1\dots\sigma_p} & \delta_{\alpha_1}^{\beta_1}\dots\delta_{\alpha_q}^{\beta_q}\delta_{\gamma_1}^{\sigma_1}\dots\delta_{\gamma_p}^{\sigma_p} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5.5}$$

Since the matrix \tilde{A} in (5.5) is non-singular, it has the inverse. Denoting this inverse by $(\tilde{A})^{-1}$, we have

$$(\tilde{A})^{-1} = (\tilde{A}_C^B)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_\beta^\theta & -\partial_\theta\theta_\beta & 0 \\ 0 & \delta_\theta^\beta & 0 \\ 0 & -\partial_\theta\xi_{\beta_1\dots\beta_q}^{\sigma_1\dots\sigma_p} & \delta_{\beta_1}^{\theta_1}\dots\delta_{\beta_q}^{\theta_q}\delta_{\gamma_1}^{\sigma_1}\dots\delta_{\gamma_p}^{\sigma_p} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{5.6}$$

where $\tilde{A}(\tilde{A})^{-1} = (\tilde{A}_B^A)(\tilde{A}_C^B)^{-1} = \delta_C^A = \tilde{I}$, where $A = (\bar{\alpha}, \alpha, \bar{\alpha}), B = (\bar{\beta}, \beta, \bar{\beta}), C = (\bar{\theta}, \theta, \bar{\theta})$.

Proof. In fact, from (5.5) and (5.6), we easily see that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A}(\tilde{A})^{-1} &= (\tilde{A}_B^A)(\tilde{A}_C^B)^{-1} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \delta_\alpha^\beta & \partial_\beta\theta_\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \delta_\beta^\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \partial_\beta\xi_{\alpha_1\dots\alpha_q}^{\sigma_1\dots\sigma_p} & \delta_{\alpha_1}^{\beta_1}\dots\delta_{\alpha_q}^{\beta_q}\delta_{\gamma_1}^{\sigma_1}\dots\delta_{\gamma_p}^{\sigma_p} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \delta_\beta^\theta & -\partial_\theta\theta_\beta & 0 \\ 0 & \delta_\theta^\beta & 0 \\ 0 & -\partial_\theta\xi_{\beta_1\dots\beta_q}^{\sigma_1\dots\sigma_p} & \delta_{\beta_1}^{\theta_1}\dots\delta_{\beta_q}^{\theta_q}\delta_{\gamma_1}^{\sigma_1}\dots\delta_{\gamma_p}^{\sigma_p} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \delta_\alpha^\theta & \partial_\theta\theta_\alpha - \partial_\beta\theta_\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \delta_\theta^\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \partial_\theta\xi_{\alpha_1\dots\alpha_q}^{\sigma_1\dots\sigma_p} - \partial_\beta\xi_{\alpha_1\dots\alpha_q}^{\sigma_1\dots\sigma_p} & \delta_{\alpha_1}^{\theta_1}\dots\delta_{\alpha_q}^{\theta_q} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_\alpha^\theta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \delta_\theta^\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \delta_\alpha^\theta \end{pmatrix} = \delta_C^A = \tilde{I}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Then we see from Theorem 5.2 that the complete lift ${}^{cc}X$ of a vector field $X \in \mathfrak{S}_0^1(T^*(M_n))$ has along $\beta_\xi(T^*(M_n))$ components of the form

$${}^{cc}X : \begin{pmatrix} -L_X\theta \\ X \\ -L_X\xi \end{pmatrix},$$

with respect to the adapted frame $\left\{ B_{(\bar{\beta})}, C_{(\beta)}, C_{(\bar{\beta})} \right\}$.

Let $A \in \mathfrak{S}_q^p(T^*(M_n))$. If we take account of (2.1) and (5.5), we can easily prove that ${}^{vv}A' = \tilde{A}({}^{vv}A)$, where ${}^{vv}A \in \mathfrak{S}_0^1(t_q^p(M_n))$ is a vector field defined by

$${}^{vv}A = \begin{pmatrix} {}^{vv}A^{\bar{\alpha}} \\ {}^{vv}A^{\alpha} \\ {}^{vv}A^{\bar{\alpha}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ A_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_q}^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_p} \end{pmatrix},$$

with respect to the adapted frame $\left\{ B_{(\bar{\beta})}, C_{(\beta)}, C_{(\bar{\beta})} \right\}$ of $\beta_{\xi}(T^*(M_n))$.

$B\omega$, CX and $E\xi$ also have the components:

$$B\omega = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_{\alpha} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, CX = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ X^{\alpha} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, E\xi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \xi_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_q}^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_p} \end{pmatrix}$$

respectively, with respect to the adapted frame $\left\{ B_{(\bar{\beta})}, C_{(\beta)}, C_{(\bar{\beta})} \right\}$ of the cross-section $\beta_{\xi}(T^*(M_n))$ determined by a tensor field ξ of type (p, q) in $T^*(M_n)$.

References

- [1] Isham, C.J., Modern Differential Geometry for Physicists. World Scientific, 1999.
- [2] Fattaev, H., The lifts of vector fields to the semitensor bundle of the Type $(2, 0)$. *Journal of Qafqaz University*, **25** (2009), no. 1, 136-140.
- [3] Gezer, A., Salimov, A.A., Almost complex structures on the tensor bundles. *Arab. J. Sci. Eng. Sect. A Sci.*, **33** (2008), no. 2, 283-296.
- [4] Husemoller, D., Fibre Bundles. Springer, New York, 1994.
- [5] Lawson, H.B. and Michelsohn, M.L., Spin Geometry. Princeton University Press., Princeton, 1989.
- [6] Ledger, A.J. and Yano, K., Almost complex structure on tensor bundles. *J. Dif. Geom.*, **1** (1967), 355-368.
- [7] Salimov, A., Tensor Operators and their Applications. Nova Science Publ., New York, 2013.
- [8] Salimov, A.A. and Kadioğlu, E., Lifts of derivations to the semitangent bundle. *Turk J. Math.*, **24** (2000), 259-266.
- [9] Steenrod, N., The Topology of Fibre Bundles. Princeton University Press., Princeton, 1951.
- [10] Yano, K. and Ishihara, S., Tangent and Cotangent Bundles. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1973.
- [11] Yıldırım, F., On a special class of semi-cotangent bundle, *Proceedings of the Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics, (ANAS)* **41** (2015), no. 1, 25-38.
- [12] Yıldırım, F. and Salimov A., Semi-cotangent bundle and problems of lifts. *Turk J. Math.*, **38** (2014), 325-339.
- [13] Yıldırım, F., Note on the cross-section in the semi-tensor bundle. *New Trends in Mathematical Sciences*, **5** (2017) , no. 2, 212-221.
- [14] Yıldırım, F., Asl, M.B. and Jabrailzade, F., Vector and affnor fields on cross-sections in the semi-cotangent bundle. *Proceedings of the Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics, (ANAS)* **43** (2017), no. 2, 305-315.

Affiliations

FURKAN YILDIRIM

ADDRESS: Atatürk University, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sci. Narman Vocational Training School, 25530, Erzurum Turkey.

E-MAIL: furkan.yildirim@atauni.edu.tr

ORCID ID : orcid.org/0000-0003-0081-7857